



Northern Expressway

Environmental Report Supplement

June 2007

Prepared by:

Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd

186 Greenhill Road
Parkside SA 5063

Telephone: + 61 8 8301 1234
Facsimile: + 61 8 8301 1301

and:
Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd

and:
QED Pty Ltd

and:
Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure

Prepared for:

Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure

33–37 Warwick Street
Walkerville SA 5081

PO Box 1
Walkerville SA 5081

Telephone: 1300 658 621
Facsimile: + 61 8 8343 2005
Email: northernexpressway@saugov.sa.gov.au

Limitations Statement

The sole purpose of this report and the associated services performed by Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd (KBR) either directly or through its associates Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd and QED Pty Ltd is to document the effect of the Northern Expressway project on the environment in accordance with the scope of services set out in contracts references 05C091 and 05C152 between KBR and the Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure (DTEI) ('the Client'). That scope of services was defined by the requests of the Client, by the time and budgetary constraints imposed by the Client, and by the availability of access to the site.

KBR derived the data in this report primarily from documentation as referenced in this report, site visits, information about the site such as topographical survey data provided by DTEI, and consultation with various stakeholders as referenced. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may require further exploration at the site and subsequent data analysis, and re-evaluation of the findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report.

In preparing this report, KBR has relied upon and presumed accurate certain information (or absence thereof) relative to the environmental effects of the project provided by the Client and other consultants engaged by the Client, namely Sections 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.9 and 3.12 of this report and associated tabulated information. Similarly, KBR has relied upon and presumed accurate project financial data and traffic data provided by the Client. Except as otherwise stated in the report, KBR has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information.

No warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, is made with respect to the data reported or to the findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report. Furthermore, such data, findings, observations and conclusions are based solely upon information supplied by the Client in existence at the time of the investigation.

This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the Client, and is subject to and issued in connection with the provisions of the agreement between KBR and the Client. KBR accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any third party.

Revision History

Revision	Date	Comment	Signatures		
			Originated by	Checked by	Authorised by
1	23/05/07	Issued for DTEI review (AEC517.003-G-REP-006)	DW	CC	MEJ
2	1/6/07	Issued for DTEI approval (AEC517.003-G-REP-006)	DW	CC	MEJ
3	4/6/07	Final issue for use (AEC517.003-G-REP-006)	DW	CC	MEJ

Table of Contents

Executive summary	xi
1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose	1-1
1.2 Supplement Report structure	1-1
1.3 Community engagement (following the release of the Environmental Report) and key issues raised	1-2
2 Amendments to the proposal	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Amendments to the proposal arising from the community engagement activities	2-1
2.2.1 Refined route	2-1
2.2.2 Changes to interchanges and ramps	2-2
2.2.3 Roadway lighting	2-2
2.2.4 Surface water	2-3
2.2.5 Emergency services access	2-3
2.2.6 Port Wakefield Road Upgrade	2-4
3 Further investigations	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Additional entry/exit ramps	3-1
3.2.1 Background	3-1
3.2.2 Traffic modelling	3-2
3.2.3 Conclusion	3-3
3.3 Secondary economic effects	3-3
3.4 Alternative routes considered	3-5
3.4.1 Route selection process	3-5
3.4.2 Red route	3-8
3.5 Noise modelling	3-10
3.5.1 Introduction	3-10
3.5.2 Noise effects of the project	3-11
3.5.3 Receptor noise effects above criteria	3-14
3.5.4 Noise contours in 2026 without mitigation measures	3-14

3.5.5	Conclusions	3-19
3.6	Aboriginal heritage	3-19
3.6.1	Field survey	3-19
3.6.2	Native title	3-19
3.7	Non-Aboriginal heritage	3-19
3.8	Surface water and groundwater	3-21
3.8.1	The Gawler River crossing	3-21
3.8.2	Port Wakefield Road	3-23
3.9	Greenhouse gas assessment	3-24
3.9.1	Introduction	3-24
3.9.2	Construction – greenhouse gas emissions	3-24
3.9.3	Landscaping – emissions and sequestration	3-26
3.9.4	Potential sequestration	3-27
3.9.5	Management measures	3-28
3.9.6	Operation – greenhouse gas emissions	3-28
3.9.7	Management measures	3-29
3.9.8	Conclusions	3-30
3.10	Fill materials	3-31
3.11	Visual analysis	3-31
3.12	Gawler Airfield	3-35
3.12.1	Operational issues	3-35
4	Response to issues raised in formal public submissions following release of the Environmental Report	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Summary of issues	4-1
4.2.1	Assessment process and stakeholder and community engagement	4-1
4.2.2	Need for the proposal and alternatives	4-3
4.2.3	Description/scope of the proposal	4-3
4.2.4	Social and demographic profile	4-4
4.2.5	Land use and zoning	4-5
4.2.6	Property	4-6
4.2.7	Transport	4-6
4.2.8	Flora	4-7

4.2.9 Noise	4-8
4.2.10 Air quality	4-8

Appendices

- A Summary of submissions, cross-reference and response
- B Summary of community engagement activities
- C Northern Expressway refined route (fold-out plan)

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Environmental assessment process	1-4
Figure 2.1	Detailed route plan 1 of 7	2-5
Figure 2.2	Detailed route plan 2 of 7	2-6
Figure 2.3	Detailed route plan 3 of 7	2-7
Figure 2.4	Detailed route plan 4 of 7	2-8
Figure 2.5	Detailed route plan 5 of 7	2-9
Figure 2.6	Detailed route plan 6 of 7	2-10
Figure 2.7	Detailed route plan 7 of 7	2-11
Figure 2.8	Gawler Bypass interchange indicative landscape concept	2-12
Figure 2.9	Two Wells Road partial interchange indicative landscape concept	2-13
Figure 2.10	Gawler River crossing indicative landscape concept	2-14
Figure 2.11	Angle Vale Road interchange indicative landscape concept	2-15
Figure 2.12	Curtis Road interchange indicative landscape concept	2-16
Figure 2.13	Macdonald Park alignment indicative landscape concept	2-17
Figure 2.14	Heaslip Road and Womma Road interchange indicative landscape concept	2-18
Figure 2.15	Railway overpass indicative landscape concept	2-19
Figure 2.16	Port Wakefield Road junction indicative landscape concept	2-20
Figure 2.17	Typical roundabout landscape treatment	2-21
Figure 3.1	Noise catchment areas and monitoring sites	3-13
Figure 3.2	2026 daytime noise projections plan 1 of 4	3-15
Figure 3.3	2026 daytime noise projections plan 2 of 4	3-16
Figure 3.4	2026 daytime noise projections plan 3 of 4	3-17
Figure 3.5	2026 daytime noise projections plan 4 of 4	3-18
Figure 3.6	Archaeologically sensitive areas within 1 km of project	3-20
Figure 3.7	Carbon sequestration rate for mixed species planting for a 'medium high' potential	3-27

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Comparison of estimated 2031 daily traffic forecasts	3-2
Table 3.2	Route selection criteria	3-6
Table 3.3	Paired analysis	3-7
Table 3.4	Weighted evaluation	3-8
Table 3.5	Unweighted evaluation	3-8
Table 3.6	Direct and long-term impacts of alternative routes on horticultural production	3-9
Table 3.7	Assessment of secondary economic benefits – relative assessments	3-10
Table 3.8	Predicted daytime noise levels ($L_{eq,15h}$)	3-11
Table 3.9	Predicted night-time noise levels ($L_{eq,9h}$)	3-12
Table 3.10	Percentage of sensitive receptors predicted to be above the daytime noise criteria ($L_{eq,15h}$) in 2026	3-14
Table 3.11	Percentage of sensitive receptors predicted to be above the night-time criteria ($L_{eq,9h}$) in 2026	3-14
Table 3.12	Revised flood frequency curve for Gawler River	3-22
Table 3.13	Greenhouse gas emissions from construction activities	3-25
Table 3.14	Results of MASTEM modelling for $t e\text{-CO}_2$, distance and fuel consumption of traffic in the study area under two scenarios	3-29
Table 3.15	Summary of greenhouse gas emissions' impact of Northern Expressway	3-30
Table 3.16	Landscape character areas, effects and mitigation measures along the proposed Northern Expressway	3-32
Table A1	Local government submissions (LGS)	A-1
Table A2	State government submissions (SGS)	A-35
Table A3	Representative bodies submissions (RBS)	A-46
Table A4	Public submissions (PS)	A-54
Table A5	Proforma submissions	A-90

List of Abbreviations

ARI	Average Recurrence Interval
dB(A)	A-weighted decibels
DECS	Department of Education and Children's Services
DFC	Department for Families and Communities
DoTARS	Department of Transport and Regional Services (Commonwealth)
DTED	Department of Trade and Economic Development
DTEI	Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure
DWLBC	Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation
e-CO ₂	equivalent carbon dioxide
GEHA	Gawler Environment and Heritage Association Inc.
GHG	greenhouse gas
GRFMA	Gawler River Floodplain Management Authority
GRFMS	Gawler River Flood Mitigation Scheme
ha	hectare
k	kilo (1000)
Leq	level equivalent
m ³ /s	cubic metres per second
MASTEM	Metropolitan Adelaide Strategic Transport Evaluation Model
NExy	Northern Expressway
NRM	Natural Resources Management
OD3	Over-dimensional route number 3
PIRSA	(Department of) Primary Industries and Resources, South Australia
RAAF	Royal Australian Air Force
t	tonne
TEU	twenty-foot equivalent units
VHD	Virginia Horticultural District
vkt	vehicle kilometres travelled
vpd	vehicles per day
WRSV	Water Reticulation Systems Virginia
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant
y	year

List of References

- Apelbaum J. 2004a. *South Australian Transport Facts 2002*. Apelbaum Consulting Group.
- Apelbaum J. 2004b. *Australian Transport Facts 2002*. Apelbaum Consulting Group.
- Australian Greenhouse Office. 2005a. *State Greenhouse Gas Inventory*. Commonwealth of Australia.
- Australian Greenhouse Office. 2005b. *AGO Factors and Methods workbook*. December 2005.
- Australian Greenhouse Office. 2007. *Planning Forest Sink Projects: A Guide to Forest Sink Planning, Management and Carbon Accounting*. Department of Environment and Heritage.
- Canadian Ortech Environmental Inc and John Emery Geotechnical Engineering Limited (JEGEL). 2002. *Multi-pollutant Emission Reduction Analysis Foundation (MERAf) for the Hot-Mix Asphalt Sector*. (Environment Canada, CCME).
- Flower D, Sanjayan J, and Baweja D. 2005. Environmental Impacts of Concrete Production and Construction.
- Government of South Australia. 2004. *Transport and Planning Issues Paper*.
- Kemp D. 2007. Hydrological Study of the Gawler River Catchments. Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure. March 2007.
- Lowe I. 2005. *Living in the Hothouse – How Global Warming Affects Australia*. Melbourne, Scribe Publications.
- Bassett Consulting Engineers. 2007. *Northern Expressway Noise and Vibration Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Northern Expressway Community Engagement Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Northern Expressway Geology, Soils and Site Contamination Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Northern Expressway Social and Demographic Profile Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Northern Expressway Traffic and Transportation Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Northern Expressway Surface Water and Groundwater Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd. 2007. *Urban Design, Landscape and Visual Assessment Technical Paper*.
- Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd, Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, QED Pty Ltd, Department for Transport, Energy and Infrastructure. 2007. *Northern Expressway Environmental Report, Volumes 1 and 2*.
- Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). 2002. *Strategies to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Road Transport Analytical Methods*, OECD Publishing.
- Palmquist RB. 1980. Impact of highway improvements on property values in Washington.
- Tonkin Consulting. 2007. Turretfield Flood Control Dam – Hydrology Study. Prepared for SA Water. October 2003.

Primary Industries and Resources, South Australia. 2007. NExy alignment choice and its effect on the Virginia horticultural district.

Transportation Research Board. 2002. Property values and highway expansion: An investigation of timing, size, location, and use effect. 81st Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board.